

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		•			
W7/351,179	พ5/12/89	HAGENBUCH	····	L.	SERMOE
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER 180 NORTH STETSON AVE. TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA-SUITE 4900 CHICAGO, IL 60601			– [EXAMINER	
				ZANELLI,M	
			t	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				DATE MAÎLED:	18
			<u> </u>	DATE MAILED:	

11/16/92
NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT
This application is abandoned in view of:
This application is abandoned in view of: 1. VDApplicant's failure to respond to the Office letter, malled 4/30/92 2. Applicant's letter of express shandonment which is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1 138
2. ☐ Applicant's letter of express abandonment which is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.138.
3. Applicant's fallure to timely file the response received within the period set in the Office letter.
4. ☐ Applicant's fallure to pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of 3 months from the mailing date of of the Notice of Allowance.
☐ The issue fee was received on
☐ The Issue fee has not been received in Allowed Files Branch as of
In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 151, and under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.316(b), applicant(s) may petition the Commissioner to accept the delayed payment of the issue fee if the delay in payment was unavoidable. The petition must be accompanied by the issue fee, unless it has been previously submitted, in the amount specified by 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (I), and a verified showing as to the causes of the delay.
If applicant(s) never received the Notice of Allowance, a petition for a new Notice of Allowance and withdrawal of the holding of abandonment may be appropriate in view of Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler, 172 U.S.P.Q. 513.
5. Applicant's failure to timely correct the drawings and/or submit new or substitute formal drawings by as required in the last Office action. ☐ The corrected and/or substitute drawings were received on
6. □ The reason(s) below.
pplicant's letter of 10/25/92 stated a Continuality stated a Continuality sering filed. Tetter did not argue rejection and claims, or ash for reconsiderations. Therefore response to the outstanding rejection has been filed
a being filed. Tetter did not argue rejection
nd claims, or ash for reconsiderations. Therefore
response to the outstanding rejection has been files
100 27
MJJ MEVINJ. TESKA

MICHAEL ZANELLI PATENT EXAMINER **ART UNIT 234**

PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 234**

PTO-1432 (REV. 5-83)